Case 1:08-cv-01411-AKH	Document 1	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	RK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
CAROL OTTO		DOCKET NO.	
Pla - against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHECOMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINTIFF(S) DENIURY	E
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C		· ·	O ,
	NOTICE O	F ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth Plaintiff(s) which are listed below. The	herein in additi	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individu

the and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, CAROL OTTO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

citizen	of New	York residing at 120 Car	rver Loop #23C, Bronx, NY	10475	
		_	(OR)		
	2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent	
		, and brings this clair	n in his (her) capacity as of	the Estate of	•

Ca	ase 1.06-cv-01411-AKH Documen	1 Filed 02/04/2006 Page 2 01 11
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plain	tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times , and bring	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff
	•	Other:
•	(NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police
=======================================		
	d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	☐ The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for
	bout <u>11/1/2001</u> until <u>6/1/2002</u> ; ely <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
	ely $\underline{230}$ days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
From on or a Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout 9/14/2001 until 9/16/2001, ely 16 hours per day; for ely 3 days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
Approximate Approximate	bout until; ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC. ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
☐ More than thirty days have passed and the City has not adjusted the claim	
, and the second	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
(OR)	
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a determination	CORP
	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
is pending	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
□ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted tills claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
LI / WORLD IRADE COMPANI, L.F.	LIC VAINS EN VIRONIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: _

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	_
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01411-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
of lial law:			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		lп	Other:		

Case 1:08-cv-01411-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Respiratory Injury: Sinus Problems Date of onset: 3/30/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/30/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 3/30/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
==== √	Pain and suffering	
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
▽	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York January 31, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Carol Otto

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York

January 31, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CAROL OTTO,
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \[\textstyle \text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ \text{that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 \[\textstyle \text{NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT} \\ \text{that are order.} \]
that an order
